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Motivation: How is error propagated over several processing stages?

Observations:
1. From machine learning we know the benefits of learning over several non-linear layers, and we can train such models with backpropagation.
2. In the brain, we also observe information processing over several layers of neurons. How do the synapses calculate their contribution to the overall error? How is this error generated?

Challenges: Constraints of realistic models
1. Locality of plasticity: The update of the synapses should only explicitly depend on locally available parameters.
2. Time-continuous system: The brain observes time-continuous dynamics. Discretizing time or putting time on hold should be preferably avoided.

Our contribution:
A framework of deep supervised and reinforcement learning that respects the time-continuous dynamics and the locality.
Least action principle for neural networks

\[ E(u) = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \| u_i - W_i \bar{r}_{i-1} \|^2 + \text{Cost} \]

\[ u = \tilde{u} - \tau \hat{u} \]

\[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \tilde{u}} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{u}} = 0 \]

\[ \dot{W}_i = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial W_i} \]

\[ \tau \hat{u}_i = W_i r_{i-1} - u_i + e_i \rightarrow \text{neuron dynamics!} \]

\[ \tilde{e}_i = \bar{r}_i' \odot [W^T_{i+1} u_{i+1} - W^T_{i+1} W_{i+1} \bar{r}_i] \rightarrow \text{communication of error!} \]

\[ \dot{W}_i = \eta (u_i - W_i \bar{r}_{i-1}) \bar{r}_{i-1}^T \rightarrow \text{local learning rule!} \]
Results with supervised learning replicate backpropagation

The results on the MNIST dataset show that our model replicates backpropagation as measured on learning per iteration.

The look-ahead capability of the neurons is an essential feature required for backpropagation. Without it the forward and the backward pass experience a delay compared to each other and the learning breaks.

With further changes in the architecture, the symmetry of distant weights can be reduced completely, and the model is still capable of learning.

Dold (2020), Senn, Dold et al. (in preparation)
Reinforcement Learning by approximating policy gradient learning

\[
\dot{W}_i(t) \sim (R - \bar{R}) \int_{-\infty}^{t} (u_i - W_i \bar{r}_{i-1}) \bar{r}_{i-1}^T e^{-\frac{t-\hat{t}}{\tau}} d\hat{t}
\]

Local error term
(spacial credit assignment)

Reward feedback
(global signal)

Relate cause and reward in time
(temporal credit assignment)

The winner nudges all structure creates an error signal from the current choice of the network.

The resulting error represents a **Hill climbing** on the mean expected reward.

This error is propagated back to the layers closer to the input.

An incoming reward reinforces or penalizes the suggested weight changes.
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Limitations and Outlook

Limitations:
1. The model does not include spikes and synaptic delays.
2. The look-ahead mechanism has experimental indication but is not modelled explicitly.

Outlook:
1. The supervised learning can be canonically extended to improve the vanilla backpropagation.
2. The reinforcement learning could be extended to an actor-critic framework.
3. Neuromorphic hardware could greatly benefit from the continuous learning capabilities.
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